

SECRET

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Tuesday - 20 June 1972

1. [] Met with Mr. Siegmund W. Smith, 25X1
Administrative Assistant to Representative Lionel Van Deerlin (D., Calif.),
and reviewed with him the correspondence from [] 25X1
concerning her experience with the Civil Service Commission test center in
San Diego, California. Mr. Smith appreciated our response and said that
he would refer [] letter to the Commission for comment. 25X1

2. [] OPPB, accompanied by 25X1
[] met with Mr. Ralph Preston, House Appropriations Committee 25X1
staff, and briefed him on the Agency manpower and dollar background of
the Department of Defense reprogramming items presented yesterday
by the Department of Defense Comptroller's office. See [] 25X1
Memorandum for the Record.

Mr. Preston mentioned in passing that he is still unable to schedule
a visit to Headquarters for briefing by Drs. Steininger and Proctor. He will
try later in the week to see what he can do for next week. He will, however,
try to find time to meet with Mr. John Clarke, IC, for further discussion on
the community budget. Mr. Clarke has been advised.

3. [] Met with Mr. Robert Lockhart, Assistant Counsel, 25X1
House Post Office and Civil Service Committee, who told me that the Manpower
Subcommittee cancelled last week and this week's meetings for consideration
of the draft bill proposed by the Committee staff on Federal Executive Service
(FES). The next meeting of the Manpower Subcommittee is scheduled for
Thursday, 29 June. Mr. Lockhart noted that the FES bill reported by Senate
Post Office and Civil Service Committee is poorly drafted and that the use of
presidential authority to grant exemptions under the Act may well create more
problems than it solves. Were the two bills to pass in their present form,
Mr. Lockhart sees no question but that the House bill would be accepted in
conference. The draft House bill has been closely coordinated with the Civil
Service Commission and Mr. Seymour Berlin's office and although it does not
contain everything that the Commission desires, the provisions set forth in the
bill are acceptable to the Commission. Mr. Lockhart noted again that he had
our Agency comments on the original bill in front of him at the time this bill was
drafted. He eliminated those sections that we found objectionable in the earlier bill.
I thanked Mr. Lockhart for his advice and told him I would be back in touch with
him.

SECRET

